A doctor's perspective on Morris v. Brandenburg

Katherine Morris, MD, FACS



Outline

- Background
- Transition from support to investment
- Why I think it is a matter for the courts

Background

- Physician aid in dying is not suicide
- MDs do not have a crystal ball
 - Overall accuracy in predicting timing of death 58%
 - Physicians most commonly err on the side of predicting a longer time to death rather than underestimating a patient's life span
- Coercion
 - Data from Oregon do not show evidence of coercion of patients or physicians
 - Coercion cuts both ways

Fromme, et al J Palliative Medicine, 2010 Glare, P, et al BMC 2003 http://www.oregon.gov/oha/PH/PROVIDERPARTNERRESOURCES/EVALUATIONRESEARCH/DEATHWITHDIGNITYACT/Docume nts/year19.pdf

Who and why

- Most common diagnoses
 - Cancer (78.9%)
 - ALS (6.8%)
- Patient population
 - Educated
 - >50% college degree, >96% high school graduates
 - Insured
 - 99.2%
 - Enrolled in Hospice
 - 88.7%
- Most common reason cited
 - Loss of autonomy
 - 89.5%

http://www.oregon.gov/oha/PH/PROVIDERPARTNERRESOURCES/EVALUATIONRESEARCH/DEATHWITHDIGNITYACT/Documents/year19.pdf

Support

- 1994 Oregon DWDA passes
- 1997 Ballot initiative overturn law rejected
- 2001 Ashcroft
- 2005 Gonzales v. Oregon

- 2nd year medical student
- Intern
- 4th year resident
- First year attending

Investment









Why I think it is a matter for the courts

Establishing new rights: A look at aid in dying

Rights
Civil rights
Civil liberties
Human rights

"I perceived clearly that I was participating in a truly significant historic event in which a consensus had been reached as to the supreme value of the human person, a value that did not originate in the decision of a worldly power, but rather in the fact of existing — which gave rise to the inalienable right to live free from want and oppression and to fully develop one's personality."

Hernan Santa Cruz 1948

Understand death

Statistics (and legal opinions) are human beings with the tears wiped off Paul Brodeur







Why I think it is a matter for the courts continued

 Hard cases make bad law is an adage or legal maxim. The phrase means that an extreme case is a poor basis for a general law that would cover a wider range of less extreme cases. In other words, a general law is better drafted for the average circumstance as this will be more common

A side story

• "What is everybody complaining about? We will GIVE you equal rights!"